Muz 34]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1906

File No. 70

Serial. No. 1–6.

Filed. September 1907

SUBJECT.

Appeal petition of Mutsandra Rangiah re Archakship of Mareamma temple at Mutsandra in the Tumkur Taluk.

(1

Muz. 8] 190 .

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 79-05

SUBJECT.

In re the appeal of Rangiah against the Dy Comm's order re the Archakship of Marenima temple at Mutsandra in the Tumkur Taluk.

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

No. 12 Dated 29th July 1904.

S. No. Dey Cobbani assessment Jodi 0-9-0
26 (in Mutsandra village). 6-4-0 5-0-0

The Marenima temple at Mutsandra in the Tumkur Taluk is a muzrai institution endowed with the marginally-noted Inam land. From the Dy. comm's. report and Vern records on the Archak-ship it is observed that On the 25th July 1904, Patel Mahe (Shp K) Gowda of Mutsandra village brought to the notice of the Amildar that Pujari Rangiah was not conducting worship properly in the temple, and was also not allowing scope for the examination of the temple jewels. This petitions was referred to the Hobli Sheikdar, the result being that Patel Mele Gowda

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

locked the doors of the temple, and prevented Rangiah from doing Puje etc and that Sasalu Ranga was appointed in his steas.

The residents of Muttandra and another village petitioned the Taluk office stating that Ranga was discharging his duties properly and that he may not be disturbed.

The Amildar thereupon reported (Slip L that the Patel exceeded his powers in no 1184 dt 6-2-1905.
depriving Rangiah of his appointment without orders, that this was the out-come of ill-feeling between them, and that his conduct might be noticed and added that he had issued orders directing that Rangiah should continue in office. Thereupon the (Slip M
K.S.D. Korabamy Iyer imposed a fine no 1149 dt 27-2-1905
of 4 m of the Patagi amount on the Patel and approved of the arrangements made for the conduct of services, and directed that further enquiry be instituted in the matter.

GPB—2,000—13-2-05

Page ( )

Muzi 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190
(Shp N). dt 1-3-1905	Bijam Gowda petitioned the Taluk office stating that the Archakship in question was in the hereditary enjoyment of his family for a long time past, that during the life-time of his father — and during his minority after his father's death, one Bachu, after him Muthuraya, after him Sosale Ranga and after him Ranga son of Budda were doing duties as Sumasthan and enjoying one-half of the Inam lands, and complaining that his (Ranga's) conduct was bad and that he who was appointed as his Sumastha was not discharging his duties properly and that the villagers complained to him against him. Referring to the Amildar's orders, he stated that Ranga was not the hereditary Bijam and prayed that he should not be allowed to conduct worship

File No. of 190 . | Muzrai Secretariat.

l temple.

v

ii The Amildar having served notices
h on the contending parties and the
surrounding villagers, heard them in
a person

o

cl Bujari Rangiah deposes that (Slip O) Dt 24-2-1906.
h he has no documents in support
of his claim to the post; that he
v was attending to the Archak duties
d for the past 4 or 5 years, and that
o the Archakship was transferred
a to him by muthurayar and produces
n endorsements issued from the Taluk
o
c Guruva depose that he (Slip P.) Dt 24-2-1906
h is the hereditary Bujari, that
a during his minority, Gumastas
t were doing duties on his behalf,
n that Ranga is one of them and has
a produces the Title-deed in support
of his claim. He adds that during

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Parishe, he ~~walk~~ dances in ~~the~~ female cut, garb before the deity.

The residents of some Villages state that Ranga is a mischievous person, that he does not conduct Puje properly, that he treats the devotees with contempt, that even the Sevarthas offered by the devotees are ~~sometimes~~ sometimes rejected by him, and pray that he may be removed and further or other competent person appointed in his stead.

Others say that he conducts worship satisfactorily and that he may be retained.

(Slip A) One Doddamma deposes that her child being attacked by small pox, she has sent her daughter with Cocoanut and plantains to the temple, and that Archak Ranga

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

having asked her to draw water from the well and clean the temple, the girl came back without making her offerings to the Goddess.

The Amildar reports that the residents of 8 surrounding villages (Slips R 1-3 (20-9-1905 & 30-3-1906) are devotees of the temple, that from local enquiry, he came to know that all this was the out come of ill-feeling between the Patel and Rangiah, that Rangiah was reported to do duties satisfactorily, and adds that Rangiah is not the hereditary Archak and that as there were no sufficient grounds to deprive him of the post, he has issued orders ~~accord~~ to continue him in office. He further reports that Gudda has not been attending to the Archak duties himself.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190 .

that Naiks alone are by manual competent to do Puje and that Sudra has been appointing and dispensing with the services of Gumasthas at his sweet will and pleasure, which is irregular.

The Dy. Commr then sent for the Inam Bhaisal Patrik from the Inam Supdt (copy of which is files with the records). The Amildar to whom it was referred reported that there was no difference between the genealogical tree on the over-leaf of the Bhaisal Patrak and that furnished by Sudra.

(Slip T.)

(Slip U)

(Slip V + W).

Thereupon the Dy. Commr ordered that Sudra or a competent person appointed by him should attend to the Archak's duties in the temple, as he was satisfied that

No 132 Dy 17:7:06.

(Vide Dy. Comr's. report)

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Sudda was the hereditary Pujari. It is reported that Sudda has since been doing the Archak duties in the temple.

Against the foregoing orders, Rangiah has appealed to Govt.

The appeal grounds are: —

1) the right to worship the goddess (Slip x) belongs exclusively to his family, no. 3606 of 28-47 and it has always vested with the Naiks as evidenced by the Inamty Title deeds etc.

2) that the respondent Sudda (a Vakkaligar) or his ancestors never had a right to worship nor have they exercised the said right to the prejudice of the appellant,

3) and that the respondents' family had the ~~[illegible]~~ privilege of dancing in procession before the goddess etc.

In the extract of the Inam register (Slip y)

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

receives from the Inam Superintendent, ... it is mentioned that Boojaries Basava and Hanumanthra enjoy the land?

AV 31/7/07. Submitted) The Dy Comm. reports that Gudda is the real Kuchdar Pujari as is evidenced by the genealogical table noted on the reverse of the Inam Phaisal Patrick, & that accordingly he directed Gudda to do Puja himself & that he has been attending to the duties

Submitted 1/8/07. Muzrai Secretary's remarks:—

This is a case of Pujari right. It may be remanded to the Dy Comm: with the request that it may be treated as a regular Muzrai suit and disposed of after hearing both parties together.

Approved 1/8/07

To Dy Comm: & the 2nd Committee.

T Muthuswamy 20/8/07.

Draft YL 20/8/07 Revised YL 4/8/07

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05



D Dr 3606
Mr 10
Appellate
28/11/07

Sl 1

Before the Honble Secretary
with the Government of Mysore

94 Manjrai Peishcash.
No.

Pujari Rangiah, resident of
Muttsandra, Tumkur.

The appellant Rangiah begs
to appeal for the following among
other reasons, to this honorable
Court against the decision of
the Tumkur Deputy Commr &c.

1. The right to worship the goddess
of the village in question belongs
exclusively to the appellants
family; and it has always
vested with the Naiks as evidenced
by the Inamply title-deeds &c.
2. The respondent Jadda (a Vakkaliga
Vijar) nor his ancestors never
had a right to worship the same
nor have they at any time exer
cised the said right. To the
prejudice of the appellant

P.T.O.

3. The respondents' family had the privilege of representing, in dispute, a masti & dancing in procession before the Goddess & nothing more. To which the appellant never objected.

4. The order is otherwise against law & facts on record & probabilities of the traditions of the time of worshippers as enjoined as laid by the Chetis from time in memorial

28/11/17

25/11/17



ನಂಬರ್ 45

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಜೆಗಳಾದ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ಥಾಪಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಆ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾಗಿ ನಾವು ನೇಮಕವಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಸಂತೋಷಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಸಭೆಯ ಉದ್ದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಮಾನ್ಯ ದಿವಾನರವರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 23-6-06 ನೇ ದಿನದಂದು 1614 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆಯುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಸಂತೋಷಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ನಂ. 132

ಸಭೆ

ನಂ. 257

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಅರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಮಾನ್ಯ ದಿವಾನರವರ ಮುಖಾಂತರವಾಗಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಆ ಅರಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ದಿವಾನರವರು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಾಗುವ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ಮಾನ್ಯ ದಿವಾನರವರು ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೂ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಸಂತೋಷಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಧೇಯರು ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ನಂ. 17-7-06 Sd/ K. Doraswami Iyar
25 Secretary Committee
1-8-06 "True copy"
Compared
Sd/ Illegible
29/9/06 Amildar



93

IN THE COURT OF the Munsif Secretariat in Mysore, Bangalore

Munsif Petitioner

SUIT No.....OF 190 6-07

Plaintiff.....Puttaiah & Co.....

VERSUS.

Defendant.....

I the Plaintiff above named do hereby nominate and appoint Mr. T. APPU RAU, B. A., B. L., to be my Advocate in the above suit, and I do hereby empower my said Advocate to appear for me and on my behalf in the above suit; to take all and any steps which may be necessary on my behalf arising out of, or in connection with the above suit, either during its progress or after its decision, to sign, and subscribe his name to any document which he may deem proper; to receive out of the said Court any sum of money which may be lodged, become payable or receivable on my behalf, and on receipt thereof to grant receipts or other sufficient discharges for the same; to withdraw, compromise, or refer to arbitration the said suit, to appoint one or more substitute, or substitutes, and to act and perform all other matters and things relating to or connected therewith, to represent me in all matters relating to the same and to act in the premises as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes, as I might do if personally present and did the same. And I do hereby agree to pay all Fees, Costs, Charges and Expenses which may be incurred, and to allow, ratify and confirm all and singular what-so-ever my said Advocate shall lawfully do, or causes procure to be done in the premises by virtue of these presents.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this ..... day of ..... 190 .

ಪುಟ್ಟಯ್ಯ & ಕಂಪನಿ

Accepted.

T Appu Rau

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
674
9/8/07
SECRETARIAT

In Confirmation

Before the Nazrai Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore —

Poojari Ranjiah
resident of Muthsandra
Tumkur.
most respectfully begs to
state :—

i That he filed an appeal,
before this honorable Court
on or about the 28 June 07
against the decision of
the Tumkur Deputy Com-
missioner.

ii That he has been deprived
of his office in conformity of
the above order and therefore
begs that this honorable
Court may be pleased to
post the Case early for
hearing for disposal, for
which act of kindness &
justice he shall ever pray

Petitioner
8 Aug 07.

Mus. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Dated

Despatched 13.2.07

Received

General No. 3862

No.

Enclosure No. 3

Has the honor to forward herewith petition Regd No. 3606, dated 28th January 1907, received from Mutsandra Ranga Ch, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner declaring that Gudda is the rightful pujari of the Maramma temple at Mutsandra.

2. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit a detailed

GPB—3,000—21 7 03

sport together with the records on
the subject.

An early reply is requested.

By Order,

[illegible]
4/12/07
Secretary

[illegible] 7/2/07

[illegible]

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dt.

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Mys. Deptt

Dated 29th
Despatched 30 May 07.
Received

General No.
No. 984
Enclosure No. 3 and Order file

Submits report on the petn. of Mutsandra Rangaiya appealing against the Dy Comrs order declaring that Gudda is the rightful property of Maramma temple at Mutsandra in Tumkur Taluq.

AP
31/5/07
DC
26/

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Tumkur 29th May 1907.

From,

K Doraswami Iyer Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To,

L Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Mysore Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No 3862 dated the 13th February 1907 calling for a report on the petition of Mutsandra Rangaiya appealing against my order declaring that Cudda is the rightful poojari of the Maramma temple at Mutsandra in the Tumkur Taluq, I have the honor to state that the temple is

the marginally noted Inam . In July 1904, Patel Mele Gowda of Mutsandra village brought to notice that the petitioner was not conducting the temple worship properly and was also not allowing scope for the examination of the temple jewels. Thereupon enquiry was set on foot with the result that the petitioner was not a hakdar poojari of the temple in question but that he was attending to that work for the past 2 or 3 years being appointed thereto not by the Government but by one Gudda. The temple inam land is in the enjoyment of this Gudda who is the real hakdar poojari as is evidenced by the genealogical table of hakdar poojaries noted on the reverse of the Inam Faisal Patrika, a copy of which is enclosed in the Vernacular records herewith forwarded (Paper No 86)

I therefore called upon Gudda either to take up the

Assessment 5—4—9

Extent 6-7

S No 36. Hog.

the temple. himself

like to do so to produce a competent person agreeable to the people to officiate for him. It is this order that has now been appealed against. Gudda is now offering pooja in the temple.

The enclosures to the docket under reference are also herewith forwarded.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant

M. Sreenivasulu

For the Commissioner

VM

S&H

Muz 1 A]

190

From

vide Letter no 984 Dy 29th may 07
5436
from the Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dist)

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 10-7-07

Received

General No. 2 21

No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant (Survey no 36) of the Inam land situated in Muteandra, Tumkur Taluk, for the up-keep of the Ramanna temple at the place, may be kindly forwarded to this office for reference

By order,

Dft) 24/6/07

Secretary

GPB—8 000—21 7 03

2/7
2/6/07

(No 12 Enl)

Inam Superintendent's office
Bangalore dated 26th/27th July 1907.

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Inuzrai Department.

Sir,

As requested in your docket No 84 dated 10th July 1907, I have the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the Inam Register granted for the up-keep of the Narasimha temple in Mutsandra, Tumkoor Taluk.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obediant Servant.

D Ramachandra Rao

Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

Enl.

Register Sheet No. 127.

Taluk — Tumkoor

Hobli — Kóralı

Village — Mutsandra

of the Register of Inams in the village of Mutsandi

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE O

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
2. Title deed No. 148	Devadaya	Jari Accl 1852-53. Dry No.	-6-0	3.97

Kórali in the Taluk of Tumkoor

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
1-2-8		For the support of the temple which is said to be in good order.	0-9-4

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Jamin Jada Acch. 1804-5 dry .16 or .91	Jari Account 1831.2 dry .-5-. or 3.31 Jari Account 1854—5 dry .-6-. or 3.97 Ro 1-2-8 Jodi: -9-4

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Mubaadra Mari Devaru Poojaries Basava & Hanumantha Enjoy the land.	"	"	"

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
To be confirmed on the existing Jodi viz: annas 9. — Sd/ C. Loob Row Asst. 27-8-69	To be confirmed 9 annas (Initd) B. Gopalaiah Spl. Asst 13/3/70. confirmed subject to a quit rent of annas 9 — (Sd/) C.S. Blair 31-3-1870. Offg: Inam Comm^r.
	"Copy"
Inam Supdt's Office, Mysore 26th to 27th July 1907	D Nanjunda Rao Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

GPB—1,009 books of 2 sheets each—9-8-06.

Sec 6

3/9

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
~~Tumkur District~~,

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Read :—

~~His~~ letter no 984, dated from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur dist 29th May 1907, submitting a report on the ~~enforced~~ petition of Muttandra Rangaiah, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt declaring that Gudda is the rightful pujari of the Maramma temple at Muttandra, Tumkur Taluk

GPB—3,000—21-7 06

~~Hoss~~

516

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
~~Tumkur District~~,

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Read :—

~~His~~ Letter no 984, dated 29th May 1907, from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Dist submitting a report on the ~~enclosed~~ petition of Muttsandra Rangiah, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt., declaring that Gudda is the rightful pujari of the Maremma temple at Muttsandra, Tumkur Taluk

---

GPB—3 000 -21-7 06

~~His~~

Weigoutr

that the facts reported upon
in the above, constitute a
case of pujari right, and as
such, should be dealt with
in accordance with the instructions
laid down in Govt Pro. No 85-44,
dated 8th July 1901. The case
is therefore remanded to the
Deputy Commissioner, with the
request that it may be treated
as a regular Muzrai suit
and disposed of after hearing
both parties together

2. ~~The enclosures are returned~~
herewith

~~By Order~~,

(By order)

2/9/07

Secretary
to Muzrai Deptt

2/9/07

~~Endl to Dept~~

To the Dy Commr.

Munsif's ref & records

Endl to Dept 9/2

[Rule 21].

ನಂ. ಪ್ರೈ 36 ಬಿ/4-9.07

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

[illegible]

(ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಸೈಕ್ಲಿ ಮಾಪ್ ೨೪ ನೇ
ಮಲ್ಕುಂ ೨೬೦೬ ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಆಡಿಷನಲ್ ಆಗಿ
ಆಡಿಷನಲಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. [illegible]

[illegible]

ಇಂತಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್
ಸಹಿ 2/4/07
Secretary to Govt
Mysore Dept